

## REMARKS

Claims 1-27 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 20, 21, 22 and 24 are amended. Support for the amendments may be found at least at col. 1, lines 43-45, col. 3, lines 5-13, col. 1, lines 64-67 and col. 2 lines 41-48. Reconsideration in view of the amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

### **35 U.S.C. §112 Rejection**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-27 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description. The Examiner rejected claims 1-27 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Applicant traverses these rejections.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the recitation, in part, of:

“transmitting notification upon an attempt to access the requested data,

wherein the instructions are executable instructions and collect the notification information and selectively grants access to the requested data until the notification information is transmitted.”  
(Emphasis added)

Claim 20 has been amended to include the recitation, in part, of:

“transmitting notification information when triggered by an event the first computer,

wherein the instructions are executable instructions and collect the notification information and selectively grants access to the electronic content until the notification information is transmitted.” (Emphasis added)

Claim 21 has been amended to include the recitation, in part, of:

“transmitting notification information when an attempt to access the electronic content occurs,

wherein the executable instructions collect the notification information and selectively grants access to the electronic content until the notification information is transmitted.” (Emphasis added)

Claims 22 and 24 have been amended to include the recitation, in part, of:

“transmit notification information when triggered by an event, wherein the executable instructions collect the notification information and selectively grants access to the electronic content until the notification information is transmitted.” (Emphasis added)

Support for these amendments may be found at least at col. 1, lines 43-45, col. 3, lines 5-13, and col. 2 lines 41-48, col. 7, lines 33-44 and Fig 4. Applicant therefore submits that the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of claims 1-27 should now be withdrawn.

**Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant submits that all of the rejections and objections have been rendered moot. Applicant submits that all of the claims are patentably distinct from the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance and that the application should now be passed to issuance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below, if needed. Applicant hereby makes a written petition for extension of time if needed. Please charge any deficiencies and credit any overpayment of fees to Attorney's Deposit Account No. 23-1951.

Respectfully submitted,



Charles J. Gross  
Reg. No. 52,972

Andrew M. Calderon  
Reg. No. 38,093

McGuireWoods, LLP  
1750 Tysons Blvd  
McLean, VA 22102  
(703) 712-5341